Transcript of Detective Marie Sadanaga, Designated Representative Conducted on March 12, 2021

153

		I
1	I'll let Elaine ask whatever follow-up questions	07:10:39
2	she wants to ask at this point, but hopefully we	07:10:42
3	can get you out of here soon.	07:10:44
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	07:10:47
5	MS. BREDEHOFT: I'll try to make it	07:10:48
6	very quick.	07:10:49
7	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT	07:10:51
8	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:10:51
9	Q You testified about being a patrol	07:10:53
10	officer.	07:10:56
11	Do you recall what years you were a	07:10:57
12	patrol officer?	07:10:58
13	A It was October 2003 until January 2009.	07:11:02
14	Q You were asked some questions by by	07:11:17
15	counsel for Mr. Depp about potential motivations	07:11:20
16	for officers to follow policies or protocols or	07:11:24
17	not follow them.	07:11:29
18	MS. BREDEHOFT: Alex, I'm going to ask	07:11:30
19	you to bring up Plaintiff's Exhibit or	07:11:31
20	Deposition Exhibit Number 49, please.	07:11:34
21	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)	07:11:43
22	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:11:56
		ĺ

		1
1	Q Detective, I'm going to show you what	07:11:56
2	has been marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 49,	07:11:58
3	and it's a it was provided to us by the LAPD in	07:12:01
4	discovery in this case, and it's the first page	07:12:06
5	of it is an Internal Affairs Group Complaint	07:12:10
6	Investigations.	07:12:13
7	Do you see that?	07:12:17
8	A Yes.	07:12:18
9	Q It's dated May 2015.	07:12:18
10	Do you see that?	07:12:23
11	A Yes.	07:12:24
12	Q Okay. I'm going to go to the second	07:12:25
13	page, and they have misconduct defined.	07:12:27
14	What, if any, understanding do you have	07:12:35
15	that if a patrol officer violates department	07:12:38
16	policies, rules, or procedures, whether that would	07:12:42
17	con would constitute misconduct under the LAPD	07:12:45
18	policies and procedures?	07:12:51
19	MR. MONIZ: Objection. Improper,	07:12:53
20	incomplete hypothetical. Lacks foundation. Calls	07:12:54
21	for speculation. Vague and ambiguous.	07:12:57
22	The document and the policies speak for	07:13:00
		1

1	themselves, and it goes beyond the scope of the	07:13:03
2	topics on which this witness was designated to	07:13:06
3	testify.	07:13:11
4	THE WITNESS: Violation of department	07:13:12
5	policies, rules, or procedures is misconduct.	07:13:14
6	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:13:18
7	Q And what, if any, understanding do you	07:13:18
8	have of whether neglect of duty would constitute	07:13:21
9	misconduct under the policies, procedures, and	07:13:24
10	rules as of May 2016 for misconduct?	07:13:27
11	MR. MONIZ: Objection.	07:13:32
12	MS. BREDEHOFT: You know, I asked that	07:13:34
13	really badly, and it got all jumbled. Let me try	07:13:35
14	that one again.	07:13:37
15	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:13:38
16	Q What, if any, understanding do you have	07:13:39
17	of whether neglect of duty constitutes misconduct	07:13:40
18	under the LAPD policies and procedures in effect	07:13:46
19	in May of 2016?	07:13:49
20	MR. MONIZ: Objection. Beyond the	07:13:52
21	scope of the topics for which this witness was	07:13:53
22	designated to testify. Calls for speculation.	07:13:57
		I

1	Lacks foundation. Improper, incomplete	07:13:59
2	hypothetical. Vague and ambiguous as to the	07:14:03
3	phrase "neglect of duty," which is an inherently	07:14:06
4	nebulous phrase. Calls for an opinion, and the	07:14:11
5	document speaks for itself.	07:14:14
6	THE WITNESS: It's misconduct.	07:14:21
7	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:14:21
8	Q Okay. And what, if any, understanding	07:14:23
9	do you have of whether conduct that tended to	07:14:25
10	reflect unfavorably upon the employee or the	07:14:27
11	department constituted misconduct in May of 2016?	07:14:30
12	(The Reporter clarified the record.)	07:14:42
13	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:14:44
14	Q What, if any, understanding do you have	07:14:44
15	of whether conduct which may tend to reflect	07:14:46
16	unfavorably upon the employee or the department	07:14:49
17	constitutes misconduct under the policies and	07:14:53
1.8	procedures of the LAPD as of May 2016?	07:14:56
19	MR. MONIZ: And objection. The	07:15:01
20	document and the policies speak for themselves and	07:15:02
21	are the own best evidence of what the actual	07:15:05
22	policies are.	07:15:08

1	Lacks foundation. Calls for	07:15:09
2	speculation. Vague and ambiguous, and including	07:15:11
3	as to the phrase "conduct which may tend to	07:15:15
4	reflect unfavorably," relevance. And calls for an	07:15:19
.5	opinion.	07:15:28
6	THE WITNESS: It is also misconduct.	07:15:31
7	MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.	07:15:33
8	Alex, you can take this away.	07:15:35
9	AV TECHNICIAN: (Technician complies.)	07:15:37
10	BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	07:15:38
11	Q There were a number of questions asked	07:15:39
12	about if observe injury, if observe property	07:15:42
13	damage, back and forth, and both of us have asked	07:15:47
14	you a lot of questions about this, and so I just	07:15:50
15	want to make sure that it's very clear.	07:15:53
16	If a police officer responding to	07:15:56
17	domestic violence call sees injury, regardless of	07:16:01
18	whether the victim cooperates, what is the police	07:16:06
19	officer's obligation? This is as of May 2016.	07:16:10
20	MR. MONIZ: Objection. Incomplete	07:16:15
21	hypothetical. Lacks foundation. Calls for	07:16:16
22	speculation.	07:16:18